

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/994,878	12/19/1997	MICHAEL A. EPSTEIN	PHA-23.313	7153
7	590 12/17/2002			
JACK E HAKEN US PHILIPS CORP INTELLECTUAL PROP DEPT			EXAMINER	
			SONG, HOSUK	
580 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NY 10591			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2131	
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002	25

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No. **08/994,878**

Applicant(s)

Epstein

Examiner

HO'S SONG

Art Unit

		no 3. 30NG	2131
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence address
There reject allow:	REPLY FILED <u>Nov 21, 2002</u> FAILS TO PLACE TO fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid ion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a time ance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid the abandonment of this appli ely filed amendment which place fee); or (3) a timely filed Reques	cation. A proper reply to a final es the application in condition for
	_	EPLY [check only a) or b)]	
	The period for reply expires months from th	_	
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST See MPEP 706.07(f).	or reply expire later than SIX MONTHS	from the mailing date of the
ex ap se	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The tension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determing propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated frow tin the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if chailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce	ning the period of extension and the c m: (1) the expiration date of the short necked. Any reply received by the Off	orresponding amount of the fee. The ened statutory period for reply originally ice later than three months after the
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on	 Appellant's Brief must be filed 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of 	d within the period set forth in the appeal.
2. X	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered bed	ause:	
(a)	☐ they raise new issues that would require further of	consideration and/or search (see	NOTE below);
(b)	\square they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	low);	
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in b issues for appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by material	ly reducing or simplifying the
(d)	\square they present additional claims without canceling a	a corresponding number of finally	y rejected claims.
	NOTE:		
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):	
4. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the no	on-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in
5. 🗆	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has been con	sidered but does NOT place the
6. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly raised
7. 💢	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims wou		
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
	Claim(s) allowed:		
	Claim(s) objected to:		
	Claim(s) rejected: 5-8		
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) \square approved or 1	b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
9. 🗆	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	 ·
10.	Other:	6	SAIL HAYES

Application/Control Number: 08/994878 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

طئح

Claim 5 has been amended by the applicant to recite that the term "any non-volatile record" is inclusive, and includes "all non-volatile records". In response: applicant is claiming in claim 5, "destroying or avoiding making any and all non-volatile records of the private key at the location of the user. Again the examiner asserts that even though Asay patent teaches storing the corresponding private key in the subscriber's system, destroying the private key at user's system is specifically disclosed by Asay. Applicant is claiming destroying private key at the user's site only and does not claim complete deletion/erase/destroy of all key copy in user's system.

Therefor it meets the claim limitation.

An Ag